

BROWN TREESNAKE CONTROL

ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT

For

GUAM POWER AUTHORITY

Fiscal Year 2008

Prepared by:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,
ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE,
WILDLIFE SERVICES
233 Pangelinan Way
Barrigada, Guam 96913

BACKGROUND

The inadvertent introduction of the brown treesnake (BTS; *Boiga irregularis*) to the island of Guam has resulted in significant environmental and ecological damages. The BTS is responsible for the extirpation of most native birds and lizards on the island and has become an agricultural pest. In addition, the BTS has been implicated in hundreds of power outages throughout the island, resulting in millions of dollars in structural damage and lost revenue.

In response to concerns about continued snake-caused power outages on Guam, the Guam Power Authority (GPA) enlisted the services of the United States Department of Agriculture, Wildlife Services (WS) program to help reduce snake-related damages. Beginning in November 1998, WS initiated BTS removal efforts at seven GPA substations around Guam. The program became annually funded in February 1999. Control efforts were expanded in October 1999, October 2000, and October 2001. Beginning October 2003, WS added control efforts to the Marbo and Macheche facilities. In 2006, WS added control efforts to the Anigua and Pagat substations, as well as the use of oral toxicants to parts of the Tanguisson transmission line, bringing the total number of substations under contract to seventeen (see Table 1 for a complete list of substations under contract).

CURRENT CONTROL PROGRAM

WS employs one full-time Wildlife Specialist to conduct control efforts at GPA substations and a second specialist working 5-10 hours weekly to assist with toxicant work. Oversight for the program is provided by a field supervisor working out of the WS office in Barrigada. A wildlife biologist inputs and maintains snake capture data generated by the control program. Currently, 252 snake traps, which are activated 24 hours per day, seven days per week, are in use at the targeted substations. Sixty toxicant stations for BTS are operated in several high risk locations along the Tanguisson transmission line and at the entrance gates of two substations. In addition to snake trapping, WS conducts nighttime spotlight searches at each substation, up to twice weekly.

CONTROL PROGRAM RESULTS

Between October 1, 2007 and September 30, 2008, WS removed 864 BTS from the fifteen targeted substations. Since the inception of the program in 1998, WS has removed 7,829 snakes. Traps have accounted for approximately 72% (n =5,649) of the total snakes removed, while approximately 28% (n =2,180) were hand captured. Table 1 summarizes the level of effort applied, traps currently in use by WS, and snake captures by method and substation. Additional BTS removal was conducted along the Tanguisson transmission line, with 3,537 toxicant baits applied to the area during FY08. Toxicants are also applied at the Yona (Pulantat) and Macheche substations, with 1,051 and 1,650 baits placed, respectively. The total number of BTS removed using toxicants is difficult to ascertain, as missing bait is generally assumed to be taken by a BTS. There is an unknown, but possibly significant, level of take by non-targets, such as ants and rodents, which account for some missing bait.

Wildlife Services has been documenting the size of every snake captured since the start of this

project. To date, snakes removed by trapping have averaged smaller than snakes removed by hand (981 mm snout-vent length vs. 958 mm snout-vent length), a significant difference (Figure 1). When evaluated over time, the average size of all snakes captured appears to be declining. These combined control efforts are used to ensure all size classes of snakes are targeted by the control program, as different control methods may capture differing classes of snakes. This is important to program success, as smaller snakes may be more likely to cause power outages. Because no snake is physically handled when using oral toxicants, the demographics of BTS removed with toxicants are unknown.

Data from recent field trials with oral toxicants suggest that the integration of toxicants, traps, and hand capture may further expand the population of BTS targeted by control efforts. As WS generates more data on the efficacy of toxicants (in relation to trapping and hand capture), more recommendations on their application will be forwarded to GPA for consideration.

TABLE 1. Brown treesnake captures and effort, reported by substation.

SUBSTATION	# Traps	FY2008 #Visits/Site	FY2008 # Hours/Site	FY2008 BTS by Trap	FY2008 BTS by Hand	Total BTS by Trap ²	Total BTS by Hand ²
Airport	8	56	56	7	7	64	18
Anigua	5	54	27	5	4	14	10
Apra Heights	14	54	77	31	17	240	99
Cabras/Piti ¹	28	110	151	24	14	229	125
Harmon	18	54	80	103	27	936	301
Macheche	12	111	160	9	10	60	40
Marbo	20	54	80	102	23	570	141
Mongmong	20	55	113	38	19	329	158
Orote	29	55	125	8	5	44	32
Pagat	2	54	27	10	0	17	1
Pulantat	11	112	163	45	25	720	384
Talofofa	12	54	77	53	23	455	115
Tamuning	16	55	80	26	8	209	139
Tanguisson	20	111	360	76	38	981	418
Tenjo Vista	9	55	54	56	14	443	74
Yigo	28	54	116	27	10	338	125
SUBTOTALS	252	1098	1746	620	244	5649	2180
TOTAL BTS REMOVED²							7829

¹Data from Cabras and Piti substations is pooled for this report

²Total snakes include all removed between 11/1/98 and 9/30/08




FIGURE 1. Size distribution of brown treesnakes captured using traps and hand capture at GPA power generation and distribution sites, Guam 1998-2008.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1) Continue control at key substations that are integral to power generation and distribution
 Snake capture rates continue to be highest at the Tanguisson, Talofof, Tenjo Vista, Harmon, Marbo, and Yona substations. These stations are located near large blocks of forest where surrounding snake populations are high; snake densities surrounding these substations have likely not been significantly impacted by ongoing control efforts. As a result, snake captures will remain high in these areas for the foreseeable future, and snake-caused outages would likely increase if control efforts cease. Other substations that are further removed from large blocks of forest (such as Cabras or Tamuning) have lower snake capture rates; however, all substations attract a variety of potential snake prey, including introduced birds and rats, which in turn may attract snakes. For this reason, some level of continuing control at each priority substation is advisable.

2) Consider the use of permanent barriers to further exclude snakes
 The use of snake-proof barriers may have some applicability at several substations, especially those that are situated in areas with surrounding forest. Dense forest adjacent to substations

such as Pulantat support large populations of BTS that are not greatly impacted by the control operations occurring inside the substation. Barriers may further reduce the number of BTS that circumvent primary control activities.

3) Reduce the abundance of snake prey at power generation and distribution sites

The presence of potential snake prey, especially introduced birds and rodents, may greatly increase the attractiveness of an individual substation to snakes. GPA should consider control of rats (*Rattus* spp.), Eurasian tree sparrows (*Passer montanus*), feral pigeons (*Columba livia*), and black drongos (*Dicrurus macrocercus*) from substations as part of the ongoing effort to reduce snake-caused outages. A variety of techniques, including netting, repellents, and toxicants are available to manage rodents and introduced birds.

4) Document the reduction in snake-caused outages at the targeted substations

Information provided by GPA indicates snake-caused outages are occurring infrequently at substations currently under contract with WS. However, this information does not provide any baseline data on outage rates prior to the inception of snake control. It would be beneficial for both GPA and WS to obtain outage information at the targeted substations prior to the initiation of the current control contract. Such information would allow a comparison of outage rates before and after the initiation of snake control. This information could be useful for GPA outreach in publicizing efforts by GPA to reduce rate-payer costs.

For more information regarding the content of this report, please contact Daniel Vice, Assistant State Director, USDA, Wildlife Services, 233 Pangelinan Way, Barrigada, Guam 96913; phone (671) 635-4400.